

MARRAKECH 24–27 June 2019

GAC Human Rights & International Law WG (HRIL WG) Meeting

24 June 2019 02:30 p.m - 03:15 p.m

I C A N N | G A C Governmental Advisory Committee



Jorge Cancio (HRIL WG Co-Chair) Suada Hadzovic (HRIL WG Co-Chair)

ICANN65 Governance and Accountability Processes Agenda Item 3.1

Agenda

- Implementation of ICANN Human Rights Core Values discussion based on the CCWG-Accountability WS2 Human Rights Framework of Interpretation (FOI) – 15 Minutes
 - a. Co-Chair Presentation of Options Paper for Human Rights Core Values Implementation
 - b. Discussion on proposal for an ICANN66 Cross Community Session or High Interest Session on Human Rights
- 2. ICANN Org briefing on Human Rights Impacts Assessment 15 Minutes
- 3. **Diversity** (10 Minutes)
 - a. Gender Diversity
 - b. Accessibility for Persons with Disabilities
- 4. **AOB** (5 minutes)

Options Paper - Background:

- At ICANN64, the HRIL WG discussed the role of the GAC in implementation options for the Human Rights Core Values following the <u>WS2 Final Report</u>, and agreed to work on an options paper drawing from GAC Members' input on the four potential options initially under consideration.
- The HRIL WG Co-Chairs prepared a draft <u>options paper</u> which was circulated to GAC HRIL WG and GAC Members for input on the <u>13th of</u> <u>May 2019</u>.
- Multiple responses were received: in support of the current direction of the HRIL WG as outlined in the <u>options paper</u>.
- The <u>options paper</u> outlined GAC membership preference for options 2, 3 and 4 based on questionnaire results prior to ICANN64 (11 respondents), discussions held at ICANN64 during the HRIL WG Meeting, and feedback received after ICANN64.

Options Paper - Responses:

- Based upon results, <u>options 2, 3 and 4</u> appear to be the most supported by respondents.
- <u>Option 1</u> received negative feedback by one GAC member flagging the difficulty of compiling the GAC Communique adding a portion on Human Rights.
- Throughout the discussion at ICANN64 it became clear that option 1 would therefore not be brought forward by the HRIL WG without any objections
- Feedback received after ICANN64: multiple GAC HRIL WG member comment received in favor of current approach as outlined in the options paper.

Implementation Options:

- Option 1 (to not be pursued): Establish a standing item/question to be considered during the Communique drafting? (e.g. "does the subject-matter Communique have Human Rights impact and/or relevance?"
- Option 2 (not discarded): Establish a standing item/question to be considered for other GAC communications where a position is conveyed (e.g. "does the issue/topic have Human Rights impact and/or relevance?
- Option 3 (not discarded): Create the position of a GAC HR rapporteur responsible for flagging issues and to lead in Options 1 and 2
- Option 4 (for implementation): Provide for the participation of the GAC in Human Rights Implementation Assessments or similar procedural steps established for Policy Development Processes (see work from CCWP CRS-HR)

- Following ICANN64 in Kobe and discussions at the IGF Meeting in Paris prior to that, the HRIL WG co-chairs continue to be in touch with the CCWP on Human Rights on a potential Cross Community engagement during ICANN66 in Montreal (setting up a Cross Community Session or High Interest Topic)
- Would the HRIL WG and GAC support such a Cross-Community or High Interest Topic session being organized for ICANN66 in Montreal?

ICANN Org Human Rights Impact Assessment

(HRIA)

Benedetta Rossi, GAC Support Staff

Governmental Advisory Committee 24 June 2019



- Internal to ICANN Org with focus on daily operations
- Proactive exercise, designed to
 - o identify and prioritize the human rights impacts of ICANN org
 - o analyze how effectively these impacts are managed
 - o develop actions for improvement



Examples of human rights instruments used for this assessment

- The Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- United Nations Core International Human Rights Instruments
- International Labor Organization (Fundamental and Technical) Conventions
- Danish Institute for Human Rights, Human Rights Impact Assessment: Guidance and Toolbox
- United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights



Scope

This exercise maps internal org **policies and procedures** in four key areas to their impacts on human rights.





Examples of Recommendations

Functional Area	Example recommendation (in no particular order)
General	 Develop and publish human rights statement endorsed by the CEO, expressing ICANN org's general commitment to respect human rights, in line with the bylaws
Human Resources	 Raise awareness on mental health issues and avenues for seeking support to further support employee health concerns
Procurement	 Define expectations for vendors in a Vendor Code of Conduct (CoC) based on international standards
Meetings/Event Planning	 Use established human rights impact reporting mechanisms to research event locations and take steps to mitigate negative impacts where possible
Security Operations	 Take steps to improve employee awareness of safety and security policies and procedures



Final report is available on ICANN.org:

https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2-2019-05-15-en

- In the year since the assessment was conducted, ICANN org has focused on needed improvements.
- A number of the recommendations were already a part of the organization's progression with some completed, some in progress, and some not on the roadmap for reasons suitable to the organization.
- Susanna Bennett, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, will lead a cross-functional team that will further this work by evaluating, assessing, and prioritizing the recommendations in order to incorporate the most suitable needs into the ICANN culture and operating plan prioritization.



Engage with ICANN



Thank You and Questions

Visit us at icann.org







voutube.com/icannnews







slideshare/icannpresentations



soundcloud/icann



instagram.com/icannorg



3. Diversity - Introduction

- As of ICANN64, the topic of diversity is included in the HRIL WG's Work plan, following confirmation from HRIL WG Members, GAC Members and GAC Leadership.
- Output of this task:
 - to inform the WG and the GAC about the state of diversity,
 - and potentially highlight any gaps and areas for improvement
- Next steps a further study?

3.a Diversity - Gender Diversity

Cross Connectivity WG-Accountability-Work Stream 2 Recommendations to Improve ICANN's Diversity, February 2018 - 7 key elements of diversity https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/ccwg-acct-ws2-annex-1-diversity-final-recs-27mar18-en.pdf

CANN Attendee Profile by Gender

- □ ICANN 64: Male: 64%, Female: 27%, Undisclosed: 9%;
- □ ICANN 63: Male: 53%, Female: 20%, Undisclosed: 27%
- □ ICANN 62: Male: 61%, Female: 25%, Undisclosed: 14%
- □ ICANN 61: Male: 55%, Female: 34%, Undisclosed: 11%
- □ ICANN 60: Male: 59%, Female: 31%, Undisclosed: 10%
- □ ICANN 59: Male: 59%, Female: 31%, Undisclosed: 10%
- □ ICANN 58: Male: 59%, Female: 33%, Undisclosed: 8%.
- □ ICANN 57: Male: 74%, Female: 20%, Undisclosed: 6%.
- □ ICANN 56: Male: 61%, Female: 32%, Undisclosed: 7 %.

Source: ICANN Meeting "By the Numbers" & Technical Data Report <u>https://meetings.icann.org/en/data-reports</u>

ICANN organization conducted the Gender Diversity and Participation Survey with the ICANN community from 9 June – 8 July 2017

Recommendations:

- □ "The next steps on the issue of gender diversity are to be determined by the community.
- □ Survey data suggests that some of the following actions and initiatives could be considered:
 - Devision Publish diversity data on the ICANN community.
 - Consider approaches to better inform community members on how to report perceived sexism or gender bias.
 - Provide further capacity development activities for the ICANN community, as well as increased mentorship and leadership programs.
 - Adopt a non-binary approach to gender in all documents and materials.
 - Consider suitable and reasonable voluntary targets to increase gender diversity at ICANN."

https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/gender-diversity-participation-survey-report-2017-10-11-en

3.b Diversity - Accessibility for persons with disabilities

- "One billion people, or 15% of the world's population, experience some form of disability" (Source: World Health Organization).
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
- □ ICANN Cross-Community Committee on Accessibility efforts
- □ ICANN Information Transparency Initiative
- GAC Website Review Final Report Prepared for ICANN's Governmental Advisory Committee by ACIG, on December 2014
- □ ICANN.org GAC website, Website Transition

- An accessible website is critical for ensuring an equal access to persons with disabilities.
- We need to ensure that all GAC materials, especially the GAC Communiqué, abide by accessibility standards.

3.b. Diversity -Accessibility for persons with disabilities

❑ Web Accessibility Evaluation Tools List - more than 100 tools (without endorsement specific vendor products; products and search criteria are listed with no quality rating), <u>https://www.w3.org/WAI/ER/tools/</u>. One example:

Benchmarking Benchmarking Results	PDF Check Result			
Checkers Tests	The test carried out by the eAccessibility PDF checker are based on the WCAG 2.0 PDF Techniques. Barriers found: 4			
Checker HTML Tests Checker PDF Tests				
FAQ	Checked PDF: uploaded file: ICANN64+GAC+Communiqué.pdf			
User testing tool (beta)	Check Check another PDF document			
Dev Blog	Time: 2019-06-17, 07:45			
	Applied Tests: Total: 8 , Fail: 4 , Pass: 4			

Result Details for Lanne4+GAC+Communiqué.pdf

Show 🗹 Fail 🔲 Pass		🚔 Print all tests 🔐 Export as CSV	
Applied Tests			
Running Headers and Footers (Test status: Experimental)	X 1		
Document Title	X 1		
Natural Language	x 1		
Bookmarks	X 1	Select a test to display the details	
 Structure Elements (tags) 	¥1		
Scanned Document	¥1		
Correct Tab and Reading Order	¥1		
Document Permissions	v 1		

ICANN

iovernmental Advisor

GAC

• Any Other Business